

Presentation of Material Perception and Natural Environmental Memory in Installation Art with Technology Intervention

Fan Qi

Steinhardt School of Culture, Education, and Human Development, New York University, New York, NY, 10003, USA

Abstract: Installation art with technology intervention is used to present material perception and natural environmental memory through space composition, sensory interaction and embodied viewing in this paper. Based on the above research, technology can create a digital effect for installation art; at the same time, it has also altered our perception and memory of materials, light, sound, and fragments of landscape. Based on recent studies of installation art and the body, memory-oriented practice-as-research, and ecological installation work, it can be shown that embodied viewers construct meaning through tactile, visual, and proprioceptive experiences. Technology and the medium of digital memory can also be used to preserve the traces of space, stimulate sensory perception, create an immersive environment for remembrance. Therefore, it is more suitable for technology-enhanced installation art to support, rather than substitute for, the physical presence of materials and the specificity of environments.

Keywords: Installation art; technology intervention; material perception; environmental memory; embodiment; immersive art.

1. Introduction

Installation art often features a sense of space that can be experienced immersively, and it generally has a participatory structure using the body. Research has recently shown that installation art can be experienced through the body, proprioception and emotional change to some extent [1, 2]. Although technology is now used in the creation of installation art, the sense of the body's experience is not lost; rather, due to screens, sensors, projections, sound systems, interactive devices and other technologies, people perceive the environment in different ways. Thus, installation art can be employed as a subject of study to explore the relationship between material perception and memory.

Natural environmental memory in installation art refers to how landscapes, ecological textures, organic materials and site-specific atmospheres are turned into art. Such memories are not merely records; they are also feelings, sensations and locations. Scholars of installation and memory have found that site-responsive works can evoke associations in memory and link places with people's lives [3,4]. Technology can add digital traces to reinforce the persistence of objects and make them more accessible to the public.

The main content of this paper is that the technology of media in installation art should be regarded as enhancing embodied perception, not as a substitution for material experience. First, review the theoretical foundation of body-centered installation experience in this paper; next, explore how technology modifies the perception of materials; finally, examine the construction of environmental memory through installations that combine organic matter and digital mediation.

2. Theoretical Basis

2.1. Body and perception

Installation art is based on the body; therefore, people

experience it by moving through it, touching it, hearing it, and learning about it in a spatial manner. A recent study in *Frontiers* found that proprioception, sense of presence, and disturbing bodily sensations were all important for people's appreciation and transformation through installation art [1]. Another study of aesthetic experience also believes that installation environments generate embodied, emotional and often ineffable responses that are at the core of their meaning [2]. Based on the above results, the findings indicate that perception in installation art is material-based and bodily spread, rather than merely visual.

Therefore, technology can be used to alter the sense of place for the audience. Projection, responsive lighting, motion tracking and sound can draw attention to certain materials and make others relatively less conspicuous in the background. However, the body remains the place where these changes are recorded. The viewer perceives changes in movement, texture, scale and atmosphere simultaneously through the work.

2.2. Memory and place

Installation art has also been employed as a vehicle for memory construction. Based on the research of practice, site-responsive installations can create knowledge of memory by connecting personal recollections to places, fragments and atmospheres [3]. Another paper on the materiality of family archives proposes that installation art can mediate mnemonic processes by turning objects and spaces into participatory memory structures [4]. Therefore, the above studies can be applied to environmental art in areas that are both public and private.

Environmental memory, in this sense, is not merely the recollection of a location but rather an experience of how place remains in emotion, material traces, and the body. Technology can be used to record movement, amplify sound, show changes in light and weather, etc. Memory is strongest when technology retains the particularity of place and does not turn it into general digital spectacle.

3. Material Perception

Material perception refers to the feeling that people have about weight, temperature, texture, opacity, density and resistance to touch. Installation art is concerned with the qualities of these things in order to create meaning. A stone, a root, a piece of cloth and a mirror can all be the start of one's journey and the aim of life. Technology will be used to present these materials in different ways or to change them altogether [1-5].

The first kind of effect of technology intervention is scale modulation. Magnify the small organic features with projection and digital mapping, and then use sensors to generate sounds or lights based on body movement. Thus, the two types of materials differ significantly. Now, the observer is not only looking at an object but also participating in a space of mediated material relations. Thus, the work has become a perceptual space that integrates material presence with digital code.

Another is time stratification. Natural materials are easily damaged by changes in the surrounding environment and are less convenient for long-term storage and observation using technology. Together they are a "double picture" that feels close to the heart and enduring. Installation space serves as a field for experience, and objects no longer possess fixed status.

4. Natural Environmental Memory

4.1. Site and ecological trace

Natural environmental memory frequently appears in the practice of site-specific installation. Research on immersive installations of nature has found that works based on forest environments can change landscape depiction into an experience that inspires new forms of material and mnemonic consciousness [5]. Framing, accumulation and sensory translation are used to create environments that can be seen and felt. Technology can increase the amount of data acquired by wind, moisture, movement and light in this process.

Therefore, a technologically mediated installation can also serve as an ecological archive. Do not view nature as an unchanging landscape, but rather record the various states and motions that occur in nature under different circumstances of observers and environments. This is because environmental memory is not merely the past appearance of places, but also the emotions and sensations people associate with them. The sensory record is now a type of environmental knowledge.

4.2. Fragment and recollection

Environmental memory in installation art is often fragmented. Objects, sounds and textures appear as partial traces rather than full representations. Technology can be used to expand the disjointed arrangement by adding documentary footage, sound loops, interactive graphics and so on over the natural material. The viewer then perceives memory as accumulation rather than narrative closure [3,4].

Such fragmentation is very suitable for work references in natural environments, as nature itself is experienced discontinuously: through fluctuations in weather and seasons, and by direct contact with the land. Installation art can turn these discontinuities into aesthetic forms. Technology helps to make the invisible more tangible and shows us changes in time and materials through light, for instance.

5. Technology Intervention

5.1. Digital layering

Technology in installation art frequently manifests as layering. Video projection can show real-life scenes, add a sense of space through sound reinforcement, and change the work according to how one moves in space. Recent installation work has shown that technology and natural materials do not need to be in opposition; they can coexist as supportive media [6, 7].

Digital layering can help installation art create a sense of memory through repetition, delay and echo. A recorded forest sound may reappear after a viewer's gesture, making the environment feel both real and distant. A projected image of water or wind may be displayed over real materials to create a dual awareness of the actual and the mediated. Thus, technology will serve as a vehicle for artistic memory.

5.2. Interactivity and embodied response

The other is interactivity provided by the technology intervention. If the audience can change light, sound and other elements of perception by moving, then that perception is active and recursive. The viewer feels the installation, and at the same time, the installation feels the viewer. The two systems are interdependent; this way, people in the work are more closely connected to and integrated within their natural environments, rather than just being external observers [1,2].

A typical case is a motion-triggered installation that evokes the feeling of lived-in environmental memory rather than telling a story. The viewer does not only remember the forest or wetland, but also engages with it bodily by changing the pace of life, stopping and listening, turning one's body, etc. Thus, the installation creates a sense of place and memory for the viewer.

5.3. Ethics of mediation

Technology intervention also presents ethical issues. If digital media excessively replace the material presence of installation art, then the meaning of environmental memory will be diminished. Excessive spectacles may replace ecological attention with visual consumption. Therefore, the design of the technological system for artists should focus on supporting slowness, attention and material continuity rather than technological newness alone [6,8].

The ethical problem is that technology should serve the people. Utilize digital means to present texture, augment ambient sounds and environmental changes through mapping, and thus improve the sense of place. When they are prominent in the work, they may reduce the memory by causing a sense of alienation from the original object. Therefore, the most suitable way to achieve this is to maintain the sense of touch and ecological richness in the work.

6. Comparative Discussion

Comparison of site-responsive installation, ecological installation, and digitally augmented installation shows a common pattern: Memory is strongest when the work can make the body move between material presence and mediated representation. Research on installation and memory shows that viewers often build meaning through fragments, spatial cues and associative feelings [3-5]. Technology can extend the above processes, but it must also be in line with material logic.

Alignment is required to some extent for works in nature. The Environment-of-Memory created by the installation should not be general or abstract. It will appear in certain materials, at specific places and under sensory conditions. Technology is to be used to make the above conditions more visible. It is less practical to replace the environment with a simulation.

Through the above, we can see that the link between material awareness and environmental memory is not straightforward. Perception generates memory, memory modifies perception, and technology mediates both simultaneously. It is now a living system of reminders, traces and sensory prompts. Therefore, technology can be used to make a display-based operation more interactive.

7. Conclusion

Installation art with technology intervention can be employed to explore material perception and natural environmental memory. The body is still at the core of this process because viewers feel the work through movement, balance, touch and emotional resonance [1][2]. Technology can enhance the effect of the bodily relation and help to preserve the traces of place, landscape and ecological change in the installation.

The first result of this paper is that technology should be used to moderate, rather than to eliminate, the specificity of materials. Carefully integrate digital tools with organic matter and site-specific memory to create dense, memorable installations of the environment. Therefore, technology intervention is not an impairment of the natural memory; rather, it can enhance the expression of natural memory, make it more relational and lasting.

References

- [1] Kühnapfel, C., Fingerhut, J., & Pelowski, M. (2023). The role of the body in the experience of installation art: A case study of visitors' bodily, emotional, and transformative experiences in Tomás Saraceno's in orbit. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 14, Article 1192689. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1192689>.
- [2] Pelowski, M., Forster, M., et al. (2018). Capturing aesthetic experiences with installation art. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 9, Article 1255. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.01255>
- [3] Barber, J. (2012). *Installation art and memory: A practice-as-research exploration* (Master's thesis). University of Plymouth.
- [4] Hornum, E. M. (2016). *The material forms of memory: A creative arts praxis examining family archive materiality and the performance of memory through installation art* (Master's thesis). Edith Cowan University.
- [5] Unver, M. (2026). *Varieties of encounter in an immersive installation of nature* (Master's thesis). Auckland University of Technology.
- [6] Lippard, L. (1997). *The lure of the local: Senses of place in a multicentered society*. New Press.
- [7] Bachelard, G. (1994). *The poetics of space*. Beacon Press.
- [8] Ingold, T. (2011). *Being alive: Essays on movement, knowledge and description*. Routledge.
- [9] Kwon, M. (2004). *One place after another: Site-specific art and locational identity*. MIT Press.
- [10] Bourriaud, N. (2002). *Relational aesthetics*. Les presses du réel.
- [11] Tuan, Y.-F. (1977). *Space and place: The perspective of experience*. University of Minnesota Press.
- [12] Merleau-Ponty, M. (2012). *Phenomenology of perception*. Routledge.